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## 1.Introduction

- Correspondence Analysis of contingency tables (CA) is both:
- a double PCA
- a generalized SVD
with weights and the chi squared metric
- Doubly sparse CA: an application of sparse SVD
- Sparse PCA of row profiles only leads to column sparse CA. Useful for contingency tables with many columns like documents-terms matrix


## 2.Reminders on sparse PCA

- In PCA, each PC is a linear combination of all the original variables : difficult to interpret the results for large $p$.
- Objective of sPCA: obtain pseudo components easily interpretable as combinations of only a few variables. Most coefficients (weights) should be equal to zero.


### 2.1 First attempts: <br> - Simple PCA

- Hausman (1982) weights -1,0,1
- Vines (2000) : integer weights
- Generalized by Rousson, V. and Gasser, T. (2004) : blocks of weights (+ , 0, -)

Hausman, Robert E., Jr. (1982) Constrained multivariate analysis. In S.H. Zanakis, Jagdish S. Rustagi eds, Optimization in statistics: With a view towards applications in management science and operations research, TIMS Stud. Management Sci., 19, 137-151, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982
Vines, S.K., (2000) Simple principal components, Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series C (Applied Statistics), 49, 441-451
Rousson, V. , Gasser, T. (2004), Simple component analysis. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series C (Applied Statistics), 53,539-555
2.2 SCoTLASS (Simplified Component Technique Lasso) by Jolliffe \& al. (2003) : extra $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ constraints
$\max \mathbf{v}^{\prime} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{v}$ with $\|\mathbf{v}\|^{2}=1$ and $\|\mathbf{v}\|_{1}=\sum_{j=1}^{p}\left|v_{j}\right| \leq \tau$

$$
1<\tau<\sqrt{p}
$$

$\tau \geq \sqrt{p}$ usual PCA
$\tau<1$ no solution
$\tau=1$ only one nonzero coefficient

### 2.3 More than 20 variants shen \& Li, 2015



- Iterative Thresholding[15]


### 2.4 Sparse SVD

- A rank 1 sparse SVD or Penalized Matrix Decomposition (Witten et al, 2009):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min \left\|\mathbf{X}-d \mathbf{u} \mathbf{v}^{\prime}\right\|_{F}^{2} \text { subject to }\|\mathbf{u}\|^{2}=\|\mathbf{v}\|^{2}=1, \\
& \text { and } \sum_{i=1}^{I}\left|u_{i}\right| \leq \alpha, \sum_{j=1}^{J}\left|v_{j}\right| \leq \beta, \quad d \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

- Equivalent formulation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { max u'Xv subject to }\|\mathbf{u}\|^{2} \leq 1,\|\mathbf{v}\|^{2} \leq 1, \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{I}\left|u_{i}\right| \leq \alpha, \sum_{j=1}^{J}\left|v_{j}\right| \leq \beta
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.5 Lost properties and issues

- Sparse PCA does not provide a global selection of variables but a selection dimension by dimension : different from the regression context (Lasso, Elastic Net, ...)
- SCoTLASS: orthogonal factors but correlated components
- Usually: neither factors, nor components are orthogonal
- Necessity of adjusting the \% of explained variance
- No clear criterium like R $^{2}$ or MSE to choose the tuning parameters ie the degree of sparsity.
- Deflation in SVD
- Usual solution: repeat the penalized decomposition for X-duv’ (Hotelling's deflation) but the solution is not orthogonal to the rank one matrix uv'.
- Projected PMD provides an almost orthogonal solution:

$$
\text { replace } \mathbf{X} \text { by (I-uu')X(I-vv') }
$$

## 3.Sparse Correspondence Analysis

3.1 Standard correspondence analysis

- For a contingency table N, CA is
- a double PCA
- A weighted SVD of centered $\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{N} / n$

$$
\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{D}_{r}^{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{r c}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{D}_{c}^{-1 / 2} \quad \frac{p_{i j}-p_{i} p_{j}}{\sqrt{p_{i} p_{j}}}
$$

$\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{c}$ vectors of marginal distributions

### 3.2 A toy example: colours of sound

| Color | Video | Jazz | Country | Rap | Pop | Opera | Low F | High F | Middle F | $x_{i+}$ | r |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 24 | 0.121 |
| Orange | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 18 | 0.091 |
| Yellow | 6 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 25 | 0.126 |
| Green | 2 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 23 | 0.116 |
| Blue | 2 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 19 | 0.096 |
| Purple | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 13 | 0.066 |
| White | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 14 | 0.071 |
| Black | 0 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 29 | 0.146 |
| Pink | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 0.061 |
| Brown | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 21 | 0.106 |
| $x_{+j}$ | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | $N=198$ | 1.000 |
| $\mathbf{c}^{\top}$ | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 |  |  |

Abdi H., Béra M. (2017) Correspondence Analysis
In: Alhajj R., Rokne J. (eds) Encyclopedia of Social Network Analysis and Mining,
Springer, New York,

## CA factor map



## CA rows and columns coordinates and contributions

|  | a1 | a2 | ctr1 | ctr2 |  | b1 | b2 | ctr1 |  | ctr2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Red | 0.026 | 0.299 | 0 | 56 | Video | 0.541 | 0.386 | 113 | 86 |  |
| Orange | 0.314 | 0.232 | 31 | 25 | Jazz | 0.257 | 0.275 | 25 | 44 |  |
| Yellow | 0.348 | 0.202 | 53 | 27 | Country | 0.291 | -0.309 | 33 | 55 |  |
| Green | 0.044 | -0.490 | 1 | 144 | Rap | -0.991 | 0.397 | 379 | 91 |  |
| Blue | 0.082 | -0.206 | 2 | 21 | Pop | 0.122 | -0.637 | 6 | 234 |  |
| Purple | 0.619 | 0.475 | 87 | 77 | Opera | 0.236 | 0.326 | 22 | 61 |  |
| White | 0.328 | 0.057 | 26 | 1 | LowF | -0.954 | -0.089 | 351 | 5 |  |
| Black | -1.195 | 0.315 | 726 | 75 | HighF | 0.427 | 0.408 | 70 | 96 |  |
| Pink | 0.570 | 0.300 | 68 | 28 | MiddleF | 0.072 | -0.757 | 2 | 330 |  |
| Brown | -0.113 | -0.997 | 5 | 545 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | total |  |  | 1000 | 1000 |
| total |  |  | 1000 | 1000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Both sides sparse CA through sparse SVD

- sumabsu $=\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ J}}\left|u_{i}\right|$
- sumabsv $=\sum_{j=1}^{J}\left|v_{j}\right|$
- The smaller they are, the sparser $\mathbf{u}$ or $\mathbf{v}$ will be. Need for a compromise between sparseness and fit


## Criteria:

- $\quad B I C(\tau)=\frac{\|\mathbf{X}-\hat{\mathbf{X}}\|^{2}}{n p \hat{\sigma}^{2}}+\frac{\ln (n p)}{n p} d f(\tau)$

Zou et al. (2007), Shen et al. (2013)

- Index of sparseness derived from Trendafilov (2014)

$$
I S=\frac{V_{a} V_{s}}{V_{0}^{2}} \frac{\# 0}{p r}
$$

$V_{a}, V_{s}$ and $V_{o}$ are the adjusted,
unadjusted and ordinary total variances for the problem, and \#0 is the number of zero loadings with $r$ components

## Simultaneous optimization: first dimension



## Second dimension

- BIC fails to give an acceptable solution



## While IS does

2nd Dim


## Sparse CA

|  | u 1 | u 2 | ctr1 | ctr2 | a1 | a2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Red | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -0.020 | 0.117 |
| Orange | 0.047 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.238 | 0.065 |
| Yellow | 0.161 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0.296 | -0.118 |
| Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.120 | -0.251 |
| Blue | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.104 | -0.231 |
| Purple | 0.343 | 0.201 | 34 | 19 | 0.504 | 0.430 |
| White | 0 | 0.832 | 0 | 358 | 0.216 | 0.746 |
| Black | -1.202 | 0 | 929 | 0 | -1.095 | 0.091 |
| Pink | 0.284 | 0.233 | 21 | 24 | 0.467 | 0.460 |
| Brown | 0 | -0.877 | 0 | 598 | 0.030 | -0.717 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | v 1 | v 2 | ctr 1 | $\mathrm{ctr2}$ | b 1 | b 2 |
| Video | 0.295 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0.456 | 0.146 |
| Jazz | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.194 | 0.013 |
| Country | 0.122 | -0.345 | 7 | 97 | 0.352 | -0.350 |
| Rap | -1.054 | 0 | 542 | 0 | -0.914 | -0.087 |
| Pop | 0 | -0.466 | 0 | 177 | 0.208 | -0.457 |
| Opera | 0 | 0.639 | 0 | 333 | 0.108 | 0.600 |
| LowF | -0.915 | 0 | 409 | 0 | -0.831 | -0.197 |
| HighF | 0 | 0.654 | 0 | 349 | 0.277 | 0.620 |
| MiddleF | 0 | -0.235 | 0 | 45 | 0.150 | -0.289 |



- Percentages of explained variance are a little smaller than in the standard CA
- Graphical displays look very similar
- Low contributions have been set to zero, while high contributions are enlighted
- Weight vectors nearly orthogonal :

$$
<u 1 ; u 2>=0.0085 \text { and }<v 1 ; \text { v2 }>=0.0047
$$

- Coordinates vectors nearly orthogonal:

$$
\text { <a1; a2 >= } 0.0128 \text { and < b1; b2 > = } 0.0320
$$

### 3.4 Textual data

- State of the Union Addresses
- speeches of 43* presidents of the United States (from G.Washington to D.Trump). The data set contains 934 high-frequency words that appear more than 220 times in the speeches.
- Preprocessing reduces the number of words to 572
* Some speeches are missing


## Scree plot of eigenvalues



CA factor map


- One side sparse CA
- Sparsify columns (words) not rows (presidents)
- No constraints on $\sum_{i=1}^{I}\left|u_{i}\right|$
- Grid search for IS as a function of $\sum_{j=1}^{J}\left|v_{j}\right|$

1st Dim

sumabsv
2nd Dim


1st Dim


2nd Dim


Optimal values of sumabsv gives too many non-zero weights.

Our choice:
50 non zero weights

## Sparse CA-Oneside

SCA factor map


Dim1 ( $14.32 \%$ )

## Cluster dendrogram



## SCA factor map



## 4. Conclusions and perspectives

- Sparse methods meet the challenge of high dimensional data and makes interpretation easier.
- Sparse correspondence analysis useful for large contingency tables
- Future works
- Packaging sparse CA in R
- Sparsify non symmetric correspondence analysis
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